



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                    | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/539,309                         | 03/30/2000    | Jeng-Jye Shau           | SHAU-2k01           | 8163             |
| 50887 75                           | 06/06/2006    |                         | EXAMINER            |                  |
| JENG-JYE SHAU<br>991 AMARILLO AVE. |               |                         | DESIR, JEAN WICEL   |                  |
| PALO ALTO,                         | · · · · · · · |                         | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                                    |               |                         | 2622                |                  |
|                                    |               | DATE MAILED: 06/06/2006 |                     |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
|  | 09/539,309  | SHAU, JENG-JYE   |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|  | Jean W. Désir   | 2622   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply   |   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verallure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI   | l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 November 2005</u>.</li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>. 2b) This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4)  Claim(s) 49-58 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 49-58 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a cordinal content of the description and cont | vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the Idrawing(s) is objected to by Idrawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).                        |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S Ratest and Todomath Office.   |   |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2622

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 49-51, 54-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Van Gestel et al (US 6,665,873).

## Claim 49:

Van Gestel discloses:

A method for transferring digital data through television signals (see Figs. 1, 5), comprising the steps of:

"selecting one or more pre-defined object(s), said pre-defined object(s) are part(s) of television graphic image(s) visible and understandable to common television viewers while displayed on normally calibrated typical television sets, wherein the original television video signals carrying said pre-defined object(s) are known to both the data sender(s) and the data receiver(s)", see Figs. 1, 5, items 15, 12, 17, where logos that are carried through the television signals are considered as pre-defined object(s) as claimed;

Art Unit: 2622

"modifying the original television video signals carrying said predefined object(s) to generate data-carrying television signals", see Figs. 1, 5, items 17, 13, 12;

"and transmitting said data-carrying television signals to both television viewers and data receivers", see Figs. 1, 5, items 17, 18, 20, 21.

Claim 50 is disclosed, see Figs. 1, 5, items 30, 34, 35.

Claim 51 is disclosed, see col. 5 line 55.

Claim 54 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 49.

Claim 55 (which is considered as depended on claim 54) is rejected for the same reasons as claim 50.

Claim 56 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 51.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 52, 53, 57, 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Gestel et al (US 6,665,873).

Claims 52, 53:

The difference between the claimed invention and Van Gestel's disclosure is that Van Gestel does not explicitly say that the pre-defined object (logo) is a score board and/or a caption frame as claimed in claims 52, 53. However, Van Gestel defines logos

Art Unit: 2622

as pictures perceptible by users (see col. 1 lines 62-63), he also said different kinds of logos (pictures perceptible by users) can be transmitted (see col. 5 lines 55-56), and score board and/or caption frame are considered as pictures perceptible by users; that is to say, Van Gestel clearly suggests the claimed invention; thus modifying Van Gestel's disclosure to include pictures perceptible by users like score board and/or caption frame would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Claims 57, 58, are rejected for the same reasons as claims 52, 53.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new ground of rejection necessitated by the amendment.

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2622

Page 5

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean W. Désir whose telephone number is (571) 272 7344. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4/9 - First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Ometz can be reached on (571) 272 7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

*JWD* May. 30, 06

> DAVID OMETZ ' SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER